arXiv:1703.07891v1 [cs.CC] 23 Mar 2017

Width Hierarchies for Quantum and Classical Ordered Binary Decision Diagrams with Repeated Test

Kamil Khadiev[1,2,⋆] and Rishat Ibrahimov[2]

[1] University of Latvia, Riga, Latvia
[2] Kazan Federal University, Kazan, Russia
kamilhadi@gmail.com, rishat.ibrahimov@yandex.ru

Abstract. We consider quantum, nondterministic and probabilistic versions of known computational model Ordered Read-k-times Branching Programs or Ordered Binary Decision Diagrams with repeated test (k-QOBDD, k-NOBDD and k-POBDD). We show width hierarchy for complexity classes of Boolean function computed by these models and discuss relation between different variants of k-OBDD.
Keywords: quantum computing, quantum OBDD, OBDD, Branching programs, quantum vs classical, quantum models, hierarchy, computational complexity, probabilistic OBDD, nondeterministic OBDD

1 Introduction

Branching programs are one of the well known models of computation. These models have been shown useful in a variety of domains, such as hardware verification, model checking, and other CAD applications (see for example the book by I. Wegener [Weg00]). It is known that the class of Boolean functions computed by polynomial size branching programs coincide with the class of functions computed by non-uniform log-space machines.

One of important restrictive branching programs are oblivious read once branching programs, also known as Ordered Binary Decision Diagrams (OBDD) [Weg00]. It is a good model of data streaming algorithms. These algorithms are actively used in industry, because of rapidly increasing of size of data which should be processed by programs. Since a length of an OBDD is at most linear (in the length of the input), the main complexity measure is "width", analog of size for automata. And it can be seen as nonuniform automata (see for example [AG05]).

In the last decades quantum model of OBDD came into play [AGK01], [NHK00], [SS05a], [Sau06]. Researchers also interested in read-k-times quantum model of OBDD (k-QOBDD). k-QOBDD can be explored from automata point

⋆ Partially supported by ERC Advanced Grant MQC. The work is performed according to the Russian Government Program of Competitive Growth of Kazan Federal University

of view. And in that case we can found good algorithms for two way quantum classical automata in paper [**?**] of Ambainis and Watrous. Other automata models, that have relation with k-QOBDD are restart and reset quantum automata [**?**].

One of the interesting questions, which researchers explored is hierarchy of complexity classes for classical and quantum k-OBDDs. These models have two main characteristics of complexity: number of layers (k) and width. Hierarchy for numbers of layers was investigated in papers [BSSW98], [Kha16], [**?**], [**?**]

In same time, there are only few work on width hierarchy. For example, width hierarchy for deterministic k-OBDD is presented in [Kha15]. Width hierarchies for classical and quantum 1-OBDDs are discussed in [AGKY14], [AGKY16], [**?**].

In this paper we prove width hierarchy for nondeterministic, probabilistic k-OBDD and quantum k-OBDD with natural order of input bits. We considered sub linear width and hierarchies based on results and lower bounds from [**?**], [Kha16], [**?**].

The paper is organized in following way. In Section 2 we present definitions. Section 3 contains Hierarchy results for classical models and Section 4 for quantum one. We discuss relation between different models in Section 5.

2 Preliminaries

Ordered read ones Branching Programs (OBDD) are well known model for Boolean functions computation. A good source for different models of branching programs is the book by I. Wegener [Weg00].

A branching program over a set X of n Boolean variables is a directed acyclic graph with two distinguished nodes s (a source node) and t (a sink node). We denote such program $P_{s,t}$ or just P. Each inner node v of P is associated with a variable $x \in X$. *Deterministic* P has exactly two outgoing edges labeled $x = 0$ and $x = 1$ respectively for such node v.

The program P computes the Boolean function $f(X)$ ($f : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$) as follows: for each $\sigma \in \{0,1\}^n$ we let $f(\sigma) = 1$ if and only if there exists at least one $s - t$ path (called *accepting* path for σ) such that all edges along this path are consistent with σ.

A branching program is *leveled* if the nodes can be partitioned into levels $V_1, \ldots, V_\ell$ and a level $V_{\ell+1}$ such that the nodes in $V_{\ell+1}$ are the sink nodes, nodes in each level V_j with $j \leq \ell$ have outgoing edges only to nodes in the next level V_{j+1}. For a leveled $P_{s,t}$ the source node s is a node from the first level V_1 of nodes and the sink node t is a node from the last level $V_{\ell+1}$.

The *width* $w(P)$ of a leveled branching program P is the maximum of number of nodes in levels of P. $w(P) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq \ell} |V_j|$. The *size* of branching program P is a number of nodes of program P.

A leveled branching program is called *oblivious* if all inner nodes of one level are labeled by the same variable. A branching program is called *read once* if each variable is tested on each path only once. An oblivious leveled read once branching program is also called Ordered Binary Decision Diagram (OBDD).

OBDD P reads variables in its individual order $\pi = (j_1, \ldots, j_n)$, $\pi(i) = j_i$, $\pi^{-1}(j)$ is position of j in permutation π. We call $\pi(P)$ the order of P. Let us denote natural order as $id = (1, \ldots, n)$. Sometimes we will use notation id-OBDD P, it means that $\pi(P) = id$. Let $width(f) = \min_P w(P)$ for OBDD P which computes f and $id-width(f)$ is the same but for id-OBDD.

The Branching program P is called k-OBDD if it consists from k layers, where i-th ($1 \leq i \leq k$) layer P^i of P is an OBDD. Let π_i be an order of P^i, $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $\pi_1 = \cdots = \pi_k = \pi$. We call order $\pi(P) = \pi$ the order of P.

Nondeterministic OBDD (NOBDD) is nondeterministic counterpart of OBDD. Probabilistic OBDD (POBDD) can have more than two edges for node, and choose one of them using probabilistic mechanism. POBDD P computes Boolean function f with bounded error $0.5 - \varepsilon$ if probability of right answer is at least $0.5 + \varepsilon$.

Let us discuss a definition of quantum OBDD (QOBDD). It is given in different terms, but you can see that it is equivalent. You can see [AGK+05], [AGK01] for more details.

For a given $n > 0$, a quantum OBDD P of width w, defined on $\{0,1\}^n$, is a 4-tuple $P = (T, |\psi\rangle_0, Accept, \pi)$, where

- $T = \{T_j : 1 \leq j \leq n \text{ and } T_j = (G_j^0, G_j^1)\}$ are ordered pairs of (left) unitary matrices representing the transitions is applied at the j-th step, where G_j^0 or G_j^1, determined by the corresponding input bit, is applied.
- $|\psi\rangle_0$ is initial vector from w-dimensional Hilbert space over field of complex numbers. $|\psi\rangle_0 = |q_0\rangle$ where q_0 corresponds to the initial node.
- $Accept \subset \{1, \ldots, w\}$ is accepting nodes.
- π is a permutation of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ defining the order of testing the input bits.

For any given input $\sigma \in \{0,1\}^n$, the computation of P on σ can be traced by a vector from w-dimensional Hilbert space over field of complex numbers. The initial one is $|\psi\rangle_0$. In each step j, $1 \leq j \leq n$, the input bit $x_{\pi(j)}$ is tested and then the corresponding unitary operator is applied: $|\psi\rangle_j = G_j^{x_{\pi(j)}}(|\psi\rangle_{j-1})$, where $|\psi\rangle_{j-1}$ and $|\psi\rangle_j$ represent the state of the system after the $(j-1)$-th and j-th steps, respectively, where $1 \leq j \leq n$.

In the end of computation program P measure qubits. The accepting (return 1) probability $Pr_{accept}(\sigma)$ of P_n on input σ is $Pr_{accept}(\nu) = \sum_{i \in Accept} v_i^2$., for $|\psi\rangle_n = (v_1, \ldots, v_w)$. We say that a function f is computed by P with bounded error if there exists an $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ such that P accepts all inputs from $f^{-1}(1)$ with a probability at least $\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ and P_n accepts all inputs from $f^{-1}(0)$ with a probability at most $\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$. We can say that error of answer is $\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$.

Let k-$\mathbf{QOBDD}_w$ be a set of Boolean functions which can be computed by bounded error k-QOBDDs of width w. k-id-$\mathbf{QOBDD}_w$ is same for bounded error k-QOBDDs with order $id = (1, \ldots, n)$. k-$\mathbf{NOBDD}_w$ and k-$\mathbf{POBDD}_w$ is similar classes for k-NOBDD and bounded error k-POBDD.

3 Width Hierarchies on Classical k-OBDD

Firstly, let us discuss required definitions.

Let $\pi = (X_A, X_B)$ be a partition of the set X into two parts X_A and $X_B = X \backslash X_A$. Below we will use equivalent notations $f(X)$ and $f(X_A, X_B)$. Let $f|_\rho$ be a subfunction of f, where ρ is mapping $\rho : X_A \to \{0,1\}^{|X_A|}$. Function $f|_\rho$ is obtained from f by applying ρ. Let $N^\pi(f)$ be number of different subfunctions with respect to partition π. Let $\Theta(n)$ be the set of all permutations of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Let partition $\pi(\theta, u) = (X_A, X_B) = (\{x_{j_1}, \ldots, x_{j_u}\}, \{x_{j_{u+1}}, \ldots, x_{j_n}\})$, for permutation $\theta = (j_1, \ldots, j_n) \in \Theta(n), 1 < u < n$. We denote $\Pi(\theta) = \{\pi(\theta, u) : 1 < u < n\}$. Let $N^\theta(f) = \max_{\pi \in \Pi(\theta)} N^\pi(f), N(f) = \min_{\theta \in \Theta(n)} N^\theta(f)$.

Secondly, let us present existing lower bounds for nondeterministic and probabilistic k-OBDDs.

Lemma 1 ([?]). *Let function $f(X)$ is computed by k-OBDD P of width w, then $N(f) \leq w^{(k-1)w+1}$.*

Lemma 2 ([Kha16]). *Let function $f(X)$ is computed by k-NOBDD P of width w, then $N(f) \leq 2^{w^{\left((k-1)w+1\right)}}$.*

Lemma 3 ([Kha16]). *Let function $f(X)$ be computed by bounded error k-POBDD P of width w, then*

$$N(f) \leq (C_1 k (C_2 + \log_2 w + \log_2 k))^{(k+1)w^2}$$

for some constants C_1 and C_2.

Thirdly, let us define *Shuffled Address Function* ($SAF_{k,w}$) from [Kha15] based on definition of well known Pointer Jumping Function [BSSW98], [NW91].

Definition 1 (Shuffled Address Function). *Let us define Boolean function $SAF_{k,w}(X) : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ for integer $k = k(n)$ and $w = w(n)$ such that*

$$2kw(2w + \lceil \log k \rceil + \lceil \log 2w \rceil) < n. \tag{1}$$

We divide input variables to $2kw$ blocks. There are $\lceil n/(2kw) \rceil = a$ variables in each block. After that we divide each block to address *and* value *variables. First $\lceil \log k \rceil + \lceil \log 2w \rceil$ variables of block are* address *and other $a - \lceil \log k \rceil + \lceil \log 2w \rceil = b$ variables of block are* value.

We call $x_0^p, \ldots, x_{b-1}^p$ value *variables of p-th block and $y_0^p, \ldots, y_{\lceil \log k \rceil + \lceil \log 2w \rceil}^p$ are* address *variables, for $p \in \{0, \ldots, 2kw - 1\}$.*

Boolean function $SAF_{k,w}(X)$ is iterative process based on definition of following six functions:

Function $AdrK : \{0,1\}^n \times \{0, \ldots, 2kw - 1\} \to \{0, \ldots, k - 1\}$ obtains firsts part of block's address. This block will be used only in step of iteration which number is computed using this function:

$$AdrK(X, p) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lceil \log k \rceil - 1} y_j^p \cdot 2^j (mod\ k).$$

Function $AdrW : \{0,1\}^n \times \{0,\dots,2kw-1\} \to \{0,\dots,2w-1\}$ *obtains second part of block's address. It is the address of block within one step of iteration:*

$$AdrW(X,p) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lceil \log 2w \rceil - 1} y^p_{j+\lceil \log k \rceil} \cdot 2^j (mod\ 2w).$$

Function $Ind : \{0,1\}^n \times \{0,\dots,2w-1\} \times \{0,\dots,k-1\} \to \{0,\dots,2kw-1\}$ *obtains number of block by number of step and address within this step of iteration:*

$$Ind(X,i,t) = \begin{cases} p, & \text{where } p \text{ is minimal number of block such that} \\ & AdrK(X,p) = t \text{ and } AdrW(X,p) = i, \\ -1, & \text{if there are no such } p. \end{cases}$$

Function $Val : \{0,1\}^n \times \{0,\dots,2w-1\} \times \{1,\dots,k\} \to \{-1,\dots,w-1\}$ *obtains value of block which have address* i *within* t*-th step of iteration:*

$$Val(X,i,t) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} x^p_j (mod\ w), & \text{where } p = Ind(X,i,t), \text{ for } p \geq 0, \\ -1, & \text{if } Ind(X,i,t) < 0. \end{cases}$$

Two functions $Step_1$ *and* $Step_2$ *obtain value of* t*-th step of iteration. Function* $Step_1 : \{0,1\}^n \times \{0,\dots,k-1\} \to \{-1, w \dots, 2w-1\}$ *obtains base for value of step of iteration:*

$$Step_1(X,t) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } Step_2(X,t-1) = -1, \\ 0, & \text{if } t = -1, \\ Val(X, Step_2(X,t-1), t) + w, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Function $Step_2 : \{0,1\}^n \times \{0,\dots,k-1\} \to \{-1,\dots,w-1\}$ *obtain value of* t*-th step of iteration:*

$$Step_2(X,t) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } Step_1(X,t) = -1, \\ 0, & \text{if } t = -1 \\ Val(X, Step_1(X,t), t), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that address of current block is computed on previous step.
Result of Boolean function $SAF_{k,w}(X)$ *is computed by following way:*

$$SAF_{k,w}(X) = \begin{cases} 0, \text{ if } Step_2(X,k-1) \leq 0, \\ 1, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let us discuss complexity properties of the function:

Lemma 4 ([Kha15]). *For integer* $k = k(n)$, $w = w(n)$ *and Boolean function* $SAF_{k,w}$*, such that inequality (1) holds, the following statement is right:* $N(SAF_{k,w}) \geq w^{(k-1)(w-2)}$.

Lemma 5 ([Kha15]). *There is $2k$-OBDD P of width $3w+1$ which computes $SAF_{k,w}$*

Let us present Lemma 4 in more useful form:

Corollary 1. *For integer $k = k(n)$, $w = w(n)$ and Boolean function $SAF_{k,w}$, such that inequality (1) holds, the following statement is right: $N(SAF_{k,w}) \geq w^{kw/6}$.*

3.1 Hierarchy Results for Classical Models

Hierarchy for deterministic OBDD is already known:

Theorem 1 ([Kha15]). *For integer $k = k(n), w = w(n)$ such that $2kw(2w + \lceil \log k \rceil + \lceil \log 2w \rceil) < n, k \geq 2, w \geq 64$ we have k-**OBDD**$_{\lfloor w/16 \rfloor - 3} \subsetneq k$-**OBDD**$_w$.*

Let us discuss hierarchies for nondeterministic and probabilistic models.

Theorem 2. *For $w \geq 8$ we have k–**NOBDD**$_{\sqrt{w}/2} \subsetneq k$–**NOBDD**$_{3w+1}$*

Proof. It is clear that k–**NOBDD**$_{\sqrt{w}/2}$ $\subseteq$k–**NOBDD**$_{3w+1}$. Let us proof inequality of these classes. By Lemma 5 we have $SAF_{k,w} \in 2k$-**NOBDD**$_{3w+1}$. Let us show that $SAF_{k,w} \notin 2k$-**NOBDD**$_{\sqrt{w}/2}$.

$$\frac{N(SAF_{k,w})}{2^{\sqrt{w}/2(1+(2k-1)\sqrt{w}/2)}} \geq$$

$$\geq \frac{w^{kw/6}}{2^{\sqrt{w}/2(1+(2k-1)\sqrt{w}/2)}} =$$

$$= 2^{\frac{kw}{6}\log w - \frac{\sqrt{w}}{2} - \frac{1}{4}w(2k-1)} =$$

$$= 2^{\frac{\sqrt{w}}{2}(\frac{k\sqrt{w}}{3}\log w - 1 - k\sqrt{w} + \frac{\sqrt{w}}{2})} =$$

$$= 2^{\frac{\sqrt{w}}{2}(\frac{k\sqrt{w}}{3}(\log w - 3) + \frac{\sqrt{w}}{2} - 1)} > 1$$

Therefore $SAF_{k,w} \notin 2k$-OBDD$_{\sqrt{w}/2}$, due to Lemma 2.
And k–**NOBDD**$_{\sqrt{w}/2}$ $\neq$k–**NOBDD**$_{3w+1}$ □

Theorem 3. *For $\sqrt{w}/(\log_2 k \log_2 w) \geq 1$ we have k–**POBDD**$_{\sqrt{w}/(\log_2 k \log_2 w)} \subsetneq k$–**POBDD**$_{3w+1}$*

Proof. It is clear that k–**POBDD**$_{\sqrt{w}/(\log_2 k \log_2 w)}$ $\subseteq$k–**POBDD**$_{3w+1}$. Let us proof inequality of these classes. By Lemma 5 we have $SAF_{k,w} \in 2k$-**POBDD**$_{3w+1}$. Let us show that $SAF_{k,w} \notin 2k$-**POBDD**$_{\sqrt{w}/(\log_2 k \log_2 w)}$.

$$\frac{N(SAF_{k,w})}{(C_1 k(C_2 + 0.5\log_2 w - \log_2\log_2 k - \log_2\log_2 w + \log_2 k))^{(2k+1)w/(\log_2 k \log_2 w)^2}} \geq$$

$$\geq \frac{w^{kw/6}}{(C_1 k(C_2 + 0.5\log_2 w - \log_2\log_2 k - \log_2\log_2 w + \log_2 k))^{(2k+1)w/(\log_2 k \log_2 w)^2}} =$$

$$= 2^{\frac{kw}{6}\log w - ((2k+1)w/(\log_2 k\log_2 w)^2)\log(C_1 k(C_2 + 0.5\log_2 w - \log_2\log_2 k - \log_2\log_2 w + \log_2 k))} \geq$$

$$\geq 2^{kw((\log w)/6) - (1/(\log_2 k\log_2 w)^2)\log(C_1 k(C_2 + 0.5\log_2 w - \log_2\log_2 k - \log_2\log_2 w + \log_2 k))} > 1$$

Therefore $SAF_{k,w} \notin$k$-$**POBDD**$_{\sqrt{w}/(\log_2 k \log_2 w)}$, due to Lemma 3.
And k$-$**POBDD**$_{\sqrt{w}/(\log_2 k \log_2 w)} \neq$k$-$**POBDD**$_{3w+1}$ □

4 Width Hierarchies for Quantum *k*-OBDD

Let us present existing lower bound for k-OBDDs.

Lemma 6 ([?]). *Let function $f(X)$ is computed by bounded error k-QOBDD P of width w, then $N^{\pi}(f) \leq w^{C\cdot(kw)^2}$ for some $C = const$.*

Then let us discuss Boolean function *Matrix Xor Pointer Jumping*, which complexity property allow to show hierarchy.

Definition 2. *Firstly, let us present version of PJ function which works with integer numbers. Let V_A, V_B be two disjoint sets (of vertices) with $|V_A| = |V_B| = d$ and $V = V_A \cup V_B$. Let $F_A = \{f_A : V_A \to V_B\}$, $F_B = \{f_B : V_B \to V_A\}$ and $f = (f_A, f_B) : V \to V$ defined by $f(v) = f_A(v)$, if $v \in V_A$ and $f = f_B(v)$, $v \in V_B$. For each $j \geq 0$ define $f^{(j)}(v)$ by $f^{(0)}(v) = v$, $f^{(j+1)}(v) = f(f^{(j)}(v))$. Let $v_0 \in V_A$. The functions we will be interested in computing is $g_{k,d} : F_A \times F_B \to V$ defined by $g_{k,d}(f_A, f_B) = f^{(k)}(v_0)$.*

Definition of Matrix XOR Pointer Jumping function *looks like* Pointer Jumping function.

Firstly, we introduce definition of $MatrixPJ_{2k,d}$ function. Let us consider functions $f_{A,1}, f_{A,2}, \cdots f_{A,k} \in F_A$ and $f_{B,1}, f_{B,2}, \cdots f_{B,k} \in F_B$.

On iteration $j+1$ function $f^{(j+1)}(v) = f_{j+1}(f^{(j)}(v))$, where

$$f_i(v) = \begin{cases} f_{A,\lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil}(v) \text{ if } i \text{ is odd} \\ f_{B,\lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil}(v) \text{ if } i \text{ is even} \end{cases}.$$

$MatrixPJ_{2k,d}(f_{A,1}, f_{A,2}, \cdots f_{A,k}, f_{B,1}, f_{B,2}, \cdots f_{B,k}) = f^{(k)}(v_0)$.

Secondly, we add XOR-part to $MatrixPJ_{2k,d}$ (note it $XMPJ_{2k,d}$). Here we take $f^{(j+1)}(v) = f_{j+1}(f^{(j)}(v)) \oplus f^{(j-1)}(v)$, for $j \geq 0$

Finally, we consider boolean version of these functions. Boolean function $PJ_{t,n} : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ is boolean version of $g_{k,d}$, where we encode f_A in a binary string using $d \log d$ bits and do it with f_B as well. The result of function is parity of binary representation of result vertex.

In respect to boolean $MXPJ_{2k,d}$ function we encode functions in input in following order $f_{A,1},\ldots,f_{A,k},f_{B,1},\ldots,f_{B,k}$. Let us describe process of computation on Figure 1. Function $f_{A,i}$ is encoded by $a_{i,1},\cdots a_{i,d}$, for $i\in\{1\cdots k\}$. And $f_{B,i}$ is encoded by $b_{i,1},\cdots b_{i,d}$, for $i\in\{1\cdots k\}$. Typically, we will assume that $v_0=0$.



Fig. 1. Boolean function $XMPJ_{k,d}$

Let us discuss complexity properties of $MXPJ_{2k,d}$.

Lemma 7 ([?]). *For $kd\log d = o(n)$ following is right: $N^{id}(MXPJ_{2k,d}) \geq d^{\lfloor d/3-1\rfloor(k-3)}$*

Lemma 8 ([?]). *There is exact k-id-QOBDD P of width d^2 which computes $MXPJ_{2k,d}$.*

Let us present Lemma 7 in more useful form:

Corollary 2. *For integer $k=k(n)$, $w=w(n)$, $kd\log d=o(n)$ and Boolean function $MXPJ_{2k,d}$, the following statement is right: $N^{id}(MXPJ_{2k,d})\geq d^{dk/16}$*

4.1 Hierarchy Results for Quantum Models

Now we can prove hierarchy results for k-QOBDDs .

Theorem 4. *We have k-id-*$\mathbf{QOBDD}_{\sqrt{d/C_1k}} \subsetneq$ *k-id-*$\mathbf{QOBDD}_{d^2}$ *for some $C_1=const$.*

Proof. It is clear that k-id-$\mathbf{QOBDD}_{\sqrt{d/C_1k}} \subseteq k$-id-$\mathbf{QOBDD}_{d^2}$. Let us proof inequality of these classes.

Due to Lemma 8, $MXPJ_{2k,d}\in k$-id-$\mathbf{QOBDD}_{d^2}$. Let us show that $MXPJ_{2k,d}\notin k$-id-$\mathbf{QOBDD}_{\sqrt{d/Ck}}$.

$$\frac{N^{id}(MXPJ_{2k,d})}{\sqrt{d/C_1k}^{C(k\sqrt{d/C_1k})^2}} \geq$$

$$\geq \frac{d^{dk/16}}{\sqrt{d/C_1k}^{C(k\sqrt{d/C_1k})^2}} =$$
$$= 2^{\frac{dk}{16}\log d - C(k\sqrt{d/C_1k})^2 \log\sqrt{d/C_1k}} =$$
$$= 2^{\frac{k}{16}\left(d\log d - \frac{16Ckd}{2C_1k}\log d/C_1k\right)}$$

Let $C_1 = 8C$ for C from Lemma 6, then we have

$$\frac{N^{id}(MXPJ_{2k,d})}{\sqrt{d/C_1k}^{C(k\sqrt{d/C_1k})^2}} \geq 2^{\frac{k}{16}\log C_1 k} > 1.$$

Therefore $MXPJ_{2k,d} \notin k$-id-$\mathbf{QOBDD}_{\sqrt{d/Ck}}$, due to Lemma 6.

And k-id-$\mathbf{QOBDD}_{\sqrt{d/C_1k}} \neq k$-id-$\mathbf{QOBDD}_{d^2}$. □

5 Discussion on Relation Between Models

You can see some existing discussion between models in [**?**], [AGKY16], [AGK+05], [Gai15], [**?**], [**?**]. Here we will present some relations on fixed k.

Relation between models follows from Theorems 2, 3:

Theorem 5. *There are Boolean function f, such that:*
$f \in k$-$\mathbf{OBDD}_{3w+1}$,k–$\mathbf{NOBDD}_{3w+1}$,k–$\mathbf{POBDD}_{3w+1}$;
$f \notin k$-$\mathbf{OBDD}_{\lfloor w/16\rfloor-3}$,$k$–$\mathbf{NOBDD}_{\sqrt{w}/2}$,$k$–$\mathbf{POBDD}_{\sqrt{w}/(\log_2 k\log_2 w)}$

Let us compare classical models and quantum models. Firstly, let us discuss classical complexity properties of $MXPJ_{2k,d}$ function.

Lemma 9. *There is k-id-OBDD P of width d^2 which computes $MXPJ_{2k,d}$.*

Proof. Let us construct such k-id-OBDD P.

By the definition of function $MXPJ_{2k,d}$ input separated into $2dk$ blocks by $t = \lceil \log_2 d\rceil$ bits. Blocks encode integers $a_{i1}, a_{i2}\cdots a_{id}$ for $i \in \{1,\cdots k\}$ in the first part of input; and $b_{i1}, b_{i2}\cdots b_{id}$ for $i \in \{1,\cdots k\}$ in the second part (see Figure 1). Let elements of block representing a_{ij} be $X^{i,j} = (x_0^{i,j},\ldots,x_{t-1}^{i,j})$ for $i \in \{1,\cdots k\}, j \in \{1,\cdots d\}$ and elements of block representing b_{ij} be $Y^{i,j} = (y_0^{i,j},\ldots,y_{t-1}^{i,j})$ for $i \in \{1,\cdots k\}, j \in \{1,\cdots d\}$

Let us discuss i-th layer. On the first level we have d^2 nodes, each of them corresponds to pair (u,v), for $u,v \in 0,\ldots,d-1$ for storing $f^{(2i-3)}$ and $f^{(2i-2)}$. At first P skips all blocks except $x^{i,f^{(2i-2)}}$. Then it will compute XOR of bits of the block and u of pair. In the end of the block P leads node corresponding to $(f^{(2i-1)}, f^{(2i-2)})$. After that the program skip all other blocks of first part and all blocks of first part except $y^{i,f^{(2i-1)}}$. Then it computes XOR with of bits of the block and v of pair. In the end of the block P leads node corresponding to $(f^{(2i-1)}, f^{(2i)})$.

On the last layer after computing $f^{(2k)}$, all nodes which XOR result is 1 leads 1-sink. □

Lemma 10. $MXPJ_{2k,d} \notin k\text{-}id\text{-}\mathbf{OBDD}_{d/32}$.

Proof. Let us apply Lemma 1

$$\frac{MXPJ_{2k,d}}{(d/32)^{(k-1)d/32+1}} \geq \frac{d^{dk/16}}{(d/r)^{(k-1)d/32+1}} \geq$$

$$\geq 2^{\frac{kd}{16}\log d - 2\log(d/32)kd/(32)} = 2^{kd(\frac{\log d}{16} - 2(\log d - 5)/32)} > 1$$

Therefore $MXPJ_{2k,d} \notin k$-id-$\mathbf{OBDD}_{w/32}$, due to Lemma 1. □

Lemma 11. $MXPJ_{2k,d} \notin k\text{-}id\text{-}\mathbf{NOBDD}_{\sqrt{(d\log d)/33}}$.

Proof. Let us apply Lemma 2. Let $r = \sqrt{33d/\log d}$

$$\frac{MXPJ_{2k,d}}{2^{((k-1)d/r+1)d/r}} \geq \frac{d^{dk/16}}{2^{((k-1)d/r+1)d/r}} \geq$$

$$\geq 2^{\frac{kd}{16}\log d - 2(kd/r)d/r} = 2^{kd(\frac{\log d}{16} - 2d/r^2)} > 1$$

Therefore $MXPJ_{2k,d} \notin k$-id-$\mathbf{NOBDD}_{\sqrt{(d\log d)/33}}$, due to Lemma 2. □

Lemma 12. $MXPJ_{2k,d} \notin k\text{-}id\text{-}\mathbf{POBDD}_{\sqrt{d/\log k}}$.

Proof. Let us apply Lemma 3. Let $r = \sqrt{d/\log k}$

$$\frac{MXPJ_{2k,d}}{(C_1k(C_2 + \log_2 d - \log_2 r + \log_2 k))^{(k+1)d^2/r^2}} \geq$$

$$\geq \frac{d^{dk/16}}{(C_1k(C_2 + \log_2 d - \log_2 r + \log_2 k))^{(k+1)d^2/r^2}} \geq$$

$$\geq 2^{\frac{kd}{16}\log d - 2(kd^2/r^2)(C_3 + \log_2 k + \log_2(C_2 + \log_2 d + \log_2 k))} =$$

$$= 2^{2kd(\frac{\log d}{32} - d(C_3 + \log_2 k + \log_2(C_2 + \log_2 d + \log_2 k))/r^2)} > 1$$

Therefore $MXPJ_{2k,d} \notin k$-id-$\mathbf{POBDD}_{d/\log k}$, due to Lemma 3. □

Then base on these lemmas we can get following result:

Theorem 6. *There is Boolean function f, such that:*
$f \in k\text{-}id\text{-}\mathbf{OBDD}_{d^2}, k\text{-}id\text{-}\mathbf{NOBDD}_{d^2}, k\text{-}id\text{-}\mathbf{POBDD}_{d^2}, k\text{-}id\text{-}\mathbf{QOBDD}_{d^2}$;
$f \notin k\text{-}\mathbf{OBDD}_{\lfloor d/32\rfloor - 3}, k\text{-}id\text{-}\mathbf{NOBDD}_{\sqrt{(d\log d)/33}}, k\text{-}id\text{-}\mathbf{POBDD}_{\sqrt{d/\log k}}$, $k\text{-}id\text{-}\mathbf{QOBDD}_{\sqrt{d/C_1k}}$

References

AG05. Farid Ablayev and Aida Gainutdinova. Complexity of quantum uniform and nonuniform automata. In *Developments in Language Theory*, volume 3572 of *LNCS*, pages 78–87. Springer, 2005.

AGK01. Farid Ablayev, Aida Gainutdinova, and Marek Karpinski. On computational power of quantum branching programs. In *FCT*, volume 2138 of *LNCS*, pages 59–70. Springer, 2001.

AGK+05. Farid Ablayev, Aida Gainutdinova, Marek Karpinski, Cristopher Moore, and Christopher Pollett. On the computational power of probabilistic and quantum branching program. *Information and Computation*, 203(2):145–162, 2005.

AGKY14. Farid Ablayev, Aida Gainutdinova, Kamil Khadiev, and Abuzer Yakarylmaz. Very narrow quantum obdds and width hierarchies for classical obdds. In *Descriptional Complexity of Formal Systems*, volume 8614 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 53–64. Springer, 2014.

AGKY16. F. Ablayev, A. Gainutdinova, K. Khadiev, and A. Yakaryılmaz. Very narrow quantum obdds and width hierarchies for classical obdds. *Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics*, 37(6):670–682, 2016.

AK13. Farid Ablayev and Kamil Khadiev. Extension of the hierarchy for k-OBDDs of small width. *Russian Mathematics*, 53(3):46–50, 2013.

AKV08. Farid Ablayev, Airat Khasianov, and Alexander Vasiliev. On complexity of quantum branching programs computing equality-like boolean functions. *ECCC (to appear in 2010)*, 2008.

AV08. Farid Ablayev and Alexander Vasiliev. On the computation of boolean functions by quantum branching programs via fingerprinting. In *Electronic Colloquium on Computational Complexity (ECCC)*, volume 15, 2008.

BHW06. Henrik Brosenne, Matthias Homeister, and Stephan Waack. Nondeterministic ordered binary decision diagrams with repeated tests and various modes of acceptance. *Information processing letters*, 98(1):6–10, 2006.

BSSW98. Beate Bollig, Martin Sauerhoff, Detlef Sieling, and Ingo Wegener. Hierarchy theorems for kobdds and kibdds. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 205(1):45–60, 1998.

Gai15. A. F. Gainutdinova. Comparative complexity of quantum and classical obdds for total and partial functions. *Russian Mathematics*, 59(11):26–35, 2015.

HS03. Juraj Hromkovič and Martin Sauerhoff. The power of nondeterminism and randomness for oblivious branching programs. *Theory of Computing Systems*, 36(2):159–182, 2003.

Kha15. K. Khadiev. Width hierarchy for k-obdd of small width. *Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics*, 36(2), 2015.

Kha16. K. Khadiev. On the hierarchies for deterministic, nondeterministic and probabilistic ordered read-k-times branching programs. *Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics*, 37(6):682–703, 2016.

KMW91. Matthias Krause, Christoph Meinel, and Stephan Waack. Separating the eraser turing machine classes le, nle, co-nle and pe. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 86(2):267–275, 1991.

NHK00. Masaki Nakanishi, Kiyoharu Hamaguchi, and Toshinobu Kashiwabara. Ordered quantum branching programs are more powerful than ordered probabilistic branching programs under a bounded-width restriction. In *COCOON*, volume 1858 of *LNCS*, pages 467–476. Springer, 2000.

NW91. Noam Nisan and Avi Widgerson. Rounds in communication complexity revisited. In *Proceedings of the twenty-third annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing*, pages 419–429. ACM, 1991.

Sau05. Martin Sauerhoff. Quantum vs. classical read-once branching programs. *arXiv preprint quant-ph/0504198*, 2005.

Sau06. Martin Sauerhoff. Quantum vs. classical read-once branching programs. In Matthias Krause, Pavel Pudlák, Rüdiger Reischuk, and Dieter van Melkebeek, editors, *Complexity of Boolean Functions*, number 06111 in Dagstuhl Seminar Proceedings, Dagstuhl, Germany, 2006. Internationales Begegnungs- und Forschungszentrum für Informatik (IBFI), Schloss Dagstuhl, Germany.

SS05a. Martin Sauerhoff and Detlef Sieling. Quantum branching programs and space-bounded nonuniform quantum complexity. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 334(1-3):177–225, 2005.

SS05b. Martin Sauerhoff and Detlef Sieling. Quantum branching programs and space-bounded nonuniform quantum complexity. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 334(1):177–225, 2005.

SŽ00. Petr Savickỳ and S Žák. A read-once lower bound and a (1,+ k)-hierarchy for branching programs. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 238(1):347–362, 2000.

Weg00. Ingo Wegener. *Branching Programs and Binary Decision Diagrams: Theory and Applications*. SIAM, 2000.